	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.
	10/730,902	FUKUSHIMA ET AL.	V
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Evan Pert	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included inication will be mailed in due course. THIS	e
1. This communication is responsive to the application filed E	<u> December 10, 2003</u> .		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-20</u> .			
3. X The drawings filed on 10 December 2003 are accepted by	the Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	e been received. e been received in Applicatio	n No	
· —			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	-	v (PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413),	
	Paper No./	Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 1203 		Amendment/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
of Biological Material	9. Other		

Application/Control Number: 10/730,902

Art Unit: 2826

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

Page 2

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

- Change the title to --Method of Making Semiconductor Device By
 Polishing with Intermediate Clean Polishing--.
- In the specification, delete lines 3-8 [as being redundant over field [30] of the
 patent, wherein essential subject matter not in the US filing should only be "incorporated
 by reference" if made of record in English].

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1-20 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art does not disclose or suggest applicant's claimed method, particularly characterized by a "first polishing" and "second polishing" of "a film" with (an intervening) "clean polishing" (e.g. clean polishing with the polishing machine).

For example, in a Cu CMP process part of a process of making a semiconductor device, starting at Fig. 1A, an additive 15 in "a film" 14 to be polished is removed in a "first polishing" to get the structure of Fig. 1B, which is then subjected to "clean polishing," followed by a "second polishing" to get the structure of Fig. 1C.

Applicant's method improves surface uniformity in a CMP process, especially when an additive 15 is included in the film to be polished.

Art Unit: 2826

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 2002/0048958 A1 is cited for disclosing a first a second polishing, and addresses cleaning and washing between the first and second polishing, yet the first and second polishing are not on the same film (i.e. "a film"), as claimed, since the first polishing is of the entire Cu film 205 [Fig. 2A], while the second polishing is of the barrier film 203 [i.e. second polishing converts structure in Fig. 2B to structure in Fig. 2C, such that the second polishing is not actually a polishing of "a film" which was subjected to first polishing].

Similarly to the '958 document above, US 6,855,035 shows fist and second polishing of a Cu film and barrier film (which are not "a film") [Fig. 2].

US 6,783,432 shows an additive for the second polishing of the barrier film 312 [Figs. 3-4].

US 6,720,264 explains the conventional "first" and "second" steps of polishing as polishing the Cu film 14 down to the barrier film 10, followed by a second polishing of the barrier film 10, with additives to improve polishing uniformity.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,902

Art Unit: 2826

US 6,645,550 B1 discloses clean and rinse steps following CMP steps, but does not suggest diving a CMP step on "a film" into "first" and "second" steps of polishing with an intervening "clean polishing."

US 6,194,317 B1 discloses multiple steps of polishing for planarization of an uneven topography of a semiconductor wafer having in-process devices, yet does not disclose the "clean polishing" of applicant's claimed invention.

US 6,143,656 shows a Cu film 22, and barrier 14, with multiple polishing steps, yet the multiple polishing steps are not "a film" (i.e. the same film).

US 6,099,662 discloses rinsing with distilled water after CMP, but does not disclose "clean polishing" between "first polishing" and "second polishing" of "a film" (i.e. the same film).

US 5,664,990 discloses the use of water in recovery of CMP solution, but not "clean polishing."

US 5,643,406 discloses polishing with ionized water having a variable pH, but not "clean polishing" between a "first polishing" and a "second polishing" of "a film" (i.e. the same film).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan Pert whose telephone number is 571-272-1969. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM-3:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,902

Art Unit: 2826

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ETP July 23, 2005

> EVAN PERT PRIMARY EXAMINER